

STUDIES IN PLANNING & CONTROL
GENERAL EDITORS: B. T. BAYLISS & G. M. HEAL

INDUSTRIAL PRICING BEHAVIOUR & DEVALUATION

Peter M. Holmes



Industrial Pricing Behaviour and Devaluation

STUDIES IN PLANNING AND CONTROL

General Editors B. T. Bayliss, B.Sc. (Econ.). Ph.D.

*Director, Centre for European Industrial Studies
University of Bath*

and G. M. Heal, M.A., Ph.D.

*Professor of Economics
University of Sussex*

Already published

R. W. Vickerman: THE ECONOMICS OF LEISURE AND
RECREATION

Further titles in preparation

Industrial Pricing Behaviour and Devaluation

Peter M. Holmes

*Lecturer in Economics
School of European Studies
University of Sussex*



© Peter M. Holmes 1978

Softcover reprint of the hardcover 1st edition 1978 978-0-333-19690-8

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without permission

First published 1978 by
THE MACMILLAN PRESS LTD
London and Basingstoke
Associated companies in Delhi
Dublin Hong Kong Johannesburg Lagos
Melbourne New York Singapore Tokyo

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

Holmes, Peter M

Industrial pricing behaviour and devaluation –
(Studies in planning and control)

1. Pound, British – Devaluation 2. Prices –
Great Britain 3. Great Britain – Commerce

I. Title II. Series

338.5'2

HF3506.5

ISBN 978-1-349-02979-2

ISBN 978-1-349-02977-8 (eBook)

DOI 10.1007/978-1-349-02977-8

*This book is sold subject
to the standard conditions
of the Net Book Agreement*

Contents

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	vii
1 GENERAL INTRODUCTION	1
2 THE METHOD OF THE STUDY	21
3 NON-MARKET BEHAVIOUR: ADMINISTERED AND COST-PLUS PRICING	33
4 NON-OLIGOPOLISTIC FIRMS RESPONDING TO MARKET PRESSURES	49
5 OLIGOPOLISTIC FIRMS: COMPETITIVE AND NON-COMPETITIVE	65
6 GENERAL OBSERVATIONS ON THE EVIDENCE OBTAINED	93
7 THE FIRMS AND DECISION-MAKING	124
8 IMPLICATIONS FOR THE BALANCE OF PAYMENTS	145
<i>Notes</i>	159
<i>Bibliography</i>	162
<i>Index</i>	166

Acknowledgements

I should like to express my thanks to everyone who has been of assistance in the preparation of this work, especially the firms who supplied the information and who cannot be named, and without whom the work would not have been possible. Financial support from the Houblon-Norman Fund of the Bank of England was also a vital ingredient in the viability of the project. Among the many individuals who have been particularly helpful I should like to single out my research supervisor John Llewellyn, Julie Heard for her efforts at typing from an illegible manuscript, and Miss A. Holmes for drawing the diagrams. I should also like to thank the staff at Macmillans for their patience and efficiency.

University of Sussex

PETER HOLMES

1. General Introduction

General Purpose

The purpose of this work is to discover how large firms in the United Kingdom set their export prices in the months following the 14.3 per cent devaluation of sterling on 18 November 1967, and during the period of floating exchange rates of 1972–3. The method adopted, to be discussed in detail below, was that of interviewing executives with leading firms. Issues raised by the experiences of the individual firms are discussed later in the book; the particular concern is to establish as far as the evidence permits why firms acted as they did, and whether the decisions taken appear to have been in any sense optimal for the individual firm and the country as a whole.

Devaluation and Prices: Impact Effects

The 1967 devaluation was associated with a series of policy measures announced at the same time, and also other measures between December 1967 and the budget in March 1968. The true impact effects of devaluation should strictly refer to the consequences of devaluation and all policy measures inextricably linked to it. The identification of those policy measures that could have been avoided by the government if it had not devalued is quite impossible. It is unlikely that the government would have been able to take less severe deflationary action in 1967 if it had avoided devaluation. It is therefore difficult to know by how much any tax changes were linked to or additional to the devaluation. In fact some of the measures announced on 18 November 1967 were declarations of changes in future levels of taxation or expenditure, whose initial expected values firms could not be presumed to know and which may not have affected previously planned prices. Although between 18 November 1967 and March 1968 the Government announced a total of £641 million worth of public expenditure cuts and tax increases of an estimated £923 million (cf. Cohen, 1971) it seems sensible to see as associated with devaluation essentially those measures announced simultaneously with the devaluation that had calculable effects on costs. The *Economist* (25 November 1967) calculated that the net effect of the 14.3 per cent devaluation of sterling on costs would be offset by a rise in sterling costs of about 7 per cent: 3–4 per cent due to rises in import prices, 2 per cent due to abolition of the rebate to